



\$~68 & 77

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 7741/2024 CRL.M.A. 29525/2024
AKANSHA SINGH & ANR.Petitioners
Through: Mr. Rahul Tripathi, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the
State with SI Deepak Sahu, PS Pul
Prahladpur.
Mr. Parveen Tyagi, Mr. Shubham
Bhushan and Mr. Sanjay Shi, Advs.
for Respondent.

+ CRL.M.C. 7691/2024
SH. ASHOK. SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners
Through: Mr. Majedar Jangra, Adv.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSRespondents
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the
State with SI Deepak Sahu, PS Pul
Prahladpur.
Mr. Parveen Tyagi, Mr. Shubham
Bhushan and Mr. Sanjay Shi, Advs.
for Respondent.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
30.09.2024

%

1. These petitions have been filed seeking quashing of cross-FIRs being No. 469/2021 and No.468/2021 PS Pul Prahladpur under Sections 354/354(B)/323/509 IPC and Sections 323/354/376/506/509/34 IPC. The



quashing is sought basis settlement arrived at between the parties, dated 25th August, 2023. The issues arose out of a scuffle between the parties during a dispute regarding an incident relating to some splashing of water by a scooter. Charges were traded between the two parties and allegations were made, which have sought been amicably resolved. The petitioners and complainants in both these petitions are present before the Court and duly identified by the IO and their respective counsels. The FIRs were registered in 2021.

2. In view of this, the petitions are allowed and FIRs stand quashed, considering the statements of the respondents/complainants who state that despite this scuffle happening they do not wish to pursue these proceedings against each other.

3. Petitioners Ashok Singh and Savita Singh will deposit a cost of Rs.15,000/- collectively which shall be paid to the Secretary, Delhi State Legal Service Authority, Saket Courts within a period of two weeks.

4. An affidavit of compliance be filed before this Court within two weeks thereafter.

5. Considering the above settlement between the parties and the chances of conviction of the petitioners being remote and bleak, there is no use continuing with proceedings of the present FIR as it would be a misuse of the process of the Court and an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Consequently, the cross-FIRs being No. 469/2021 and No.468/2021 PS Pul Prahladpur under Sections 354/354(B)/323/509 IPC and Sections 323/354/376/506/509/34 IPC and proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

6. Parties shall abide by the terms of settlement.



7. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of. Pending applications (if any) are disposed of as infructuous.

8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

SEPTEMBER 30, 2024/MK