



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 7684/2024

PRABHAT KUMAR SHARMA & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Randhir Kumar and Mr.Abhishek
Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, APP for State with
SI Monil Kumar
Mr.Arun Upadhyay and Mr.Deepak Bhardwaj,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

27.09.2024

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.124/2019 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Sections 3/4 of DP Act at P.S. Shahbad Dairy, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioners wherein, petitioner No.1 is the husband and petitioner Nos.2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim in the



present case. He further submits that the chargesheet in the present case has been filed.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Settlement/Agreement dated 29.10.2022 before Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 10.07.2024 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohini Courts, Delhi in HMA No.1088/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount of Rs.11,10,000/-, the balance amount of Rs.4,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.841628 dated 07.09.2024 drawn on UCO Bank.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the concerned Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024

na