



2024 :DHC :7495



\$~87

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 27.09.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 7681/2024

VISHNU VERMA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohd Danish, Mr. Pravej Alam,
Mr. Harshim Khan, Mr. Mohd Arshad
Zahri, Ms. Chitra Rekha, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for the
State with SI Sachin Dev, PS: Khajuri
Khas.
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in-person.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA**

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)**

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0020/2021, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S.: Khajuri Khas and proceedings emanating therefrom. Section 323 IPC has been subsequently invoked.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 alongwith respondent No.2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.
3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner



2024:DHC:7495



No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu Rites and ceremonies on 21.09.2017. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 18.01.2021.

4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement Deed dated 24.05.2024 arrived at Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 06.08.2024, though a copy of judgment has not been placed on record.

5. An amount of Rs.80,000/- has been paid to respondent No. 2 today through DD No.000030 dated 07.09.2024 drawn on Bandhan Bank, Shahdra, Delhi in favour of respondent No. 2.

6. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Sachin Dev, PS: Khajuri Khas. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by



2024:DHC:7495



keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No.0020/2021, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at P.S.: Khajuri Khas and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024/gs