



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2971/2024 & CRL.M.A. 28946/2024**

GOPAL SINGH HANOT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.S. Rathi and Ms. Kusum,
Advocates.

versus

**STATE OF DELHI THROUGH ITS SHO P.S. NIZAMUDDIN,
NEW DELHI & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State with Ms. Priyam Agarwal
and Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya,
Advocates.

Mr. Jai Mohan, Advocate for R-2.
SI Paras Dhyani, PS Hazrat
Niazmuddin.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

24.09.2024

%

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has
been filed with the following prayers:

*“a. Issue an appropriate writ to protect right to life
and property of the petitioner against the respondents
in the interest of justice.*

*b. Direct the respondent no. 1 to take action against
the erring respondents.*

*c. Pass such further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court
may deem just and proper for the ends of justice.”*

2. Material on record discloses that the Petitioner herein is sought to be



dispossessed from the premises in question pursuant to an Order dated 03.08.2024 passed by the Recovery Officer-I, DRT-I, Delhi.

3. A writ petition would not lie against the Order dated 03.08.2024 and the remedy of the Petitioner would lie before the authorities constituted under the RDDB Act, 1993.

4. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. The writ petition is rejected, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2024

S. Zakir