



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7554/2024**

RAJ KUMAR HINGORANI & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Harsh Vaishisth, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE OF GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Vijay Pal Singh
Mr.Vineet Jhawji and Mr.Imran Moulaey,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

24.09.2024

CRL.M.A. 28836/2024 (delay in refiling)

1. By way of the present application, the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 13 days in refiling the petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the delay of 13 days in refiling the petition is condoned.
3. Accordingly, the application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7554/2024, CRL.M.A. 28835/2024 and CRL.M.A. 28837/2024

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.149/2015 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Crime (Women) Cell, Nanakpura, Delhi on the ground that the parties have



amicably settled their disputes.

2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant/respondent No. 2.

3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons against whom the chargesheet has been filed and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Settlement dated 30.03.2024. It is submitted that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce vide divorce decree dated 11.05.2023 passed by learned Judge, Family Court, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi in HMA No.171/2018. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners, who are present in Court and respondent No.2, who has joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2024

na