



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13314/2024**

GANGA RAM AHIRWAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Vivek Sharma, SPC with Ms.
Neha Sharma and Ms. Karnika
Bahugana, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

23.09.2024

%

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that taking into account that the repeated representations made by the petitioner have still not been decided by the respondents, he will for the present, be satisfied in case the respondents were directed to consider the present writ petition as a representation and dispose of the same expeditiously.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents who appears on advance notice, has no objection to this limited request.
3. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of by directing the respondents to treat this writ petition as a representation and dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks by passing a reasoned and speaking order. Taking into account, the petitioner's claim that the issue raised in the present petition is covered by a decision of a Coordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.(C)



4448/2016 titled as *Vijayan V V vs. Union of India & Ors.*, the respondents will, while passing any order, take into account the said decision as well.

4. Needless to say, if the petitioner is still aggrieved by any order passed by the respondents, it will be open for him to seek legal recourse as permissible in law.

REKHA PALLI, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2024

ab