



2024:DHC:7795



\$~92

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 07.10.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 7451/2024

RAHUL MATHUR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ashok Kumar and Mr.Mahtab
Singh, Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for
State with SI Pravin Singh, P.S.
Kanjhawla.
Mr.Amarjeet Kumar and Ms.Somya
Gairola, Advocates with respondent
No.2 in person.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA**

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)**

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0469/2018, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Kanjhawla and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 alongwith respondent No.2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.
3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner

CRL.M.C. 7451/2024**Page 1 of 3**



2024:DHC:7795



No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu Rites and ceremonies on 08.12.2016. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 21.11.2018.

4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement Deed dated 15.02.2024. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 22.05.2024.

5. Balance amount of settlement of Rs.50,000/- has been paid to respondent No. 2 today through DD No. 503267 dated 19.09.2024 drawn on Indian Bank, Kanjhawla in favour of respondent No. 2.

6. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person have been identified by SI Pravin Singh PS: Kanjhawla. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of



2024:DHC:7795



Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No.0469/2018, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Kanjhawla and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

OCTOBER 07, 2024/v