



2024:DHC:7193



\$~69

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 19.09.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 7410/2024

NITIN AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Nirmal Kishore, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms.Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Saroj Bala, P.S. Prashant Vihar.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)****CRL.M.A. 28289/2024**

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 28290/2024

An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of petitioners No.2 for exemption from filing affidavit of respondent No.2, ID proof and passport size photograph of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that an affidavit alongwith photograph of respondent No.2 has been filed in the Registry.

Taking the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner on record,



2024:DHC:7193



application is accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7410/2024

1. Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0005/2022, under Sections 498A/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Prashant Vihar and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State along with respondent No. 2 in person appear on advance notice and accept notice.
3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu Rites and ceremonies on 11.12.2017. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 03.01.2022.
4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement dated 14.09.2024 arrived at Delhi Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi. The marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 has been dissolved by decree of divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13B(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment dated 28.04.2023.
5. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.
6. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Saroj Bala, PS: Prashant Vihar. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states



2024:DHC:7193



that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No.0005/2022, under Sections 498A/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Prashant Vihar and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2024/v