



\$~A-34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13017/2024 & CM APPL. No. 54243/2024**

JAMIA HAMDARD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohinder J.S. Rupal, Dr.
Swaroop George, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Mohd. Shshir Sarwar, Mr.
Hardik Rupal, Mr. Tanmay Cheema,
Advocates abd Mr. Takrim Khan,
Law Officer

versus

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ayush Shrivastava, Advocate for
R-1 and R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH

ORDER

17.09.2024

%

1. The instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following reliefs:-

“A. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus. directing and commanding the respondent Nos. 1 And 2 to decide the complaint No. N202324014027087 dated 18.03.2024 (ANNEXURE P-5) filed by the Petitioner in a time-bound manner; and

B. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding the Respondent Nos. 1 And 2 to grant an opportunity of personal hearing to the Petitioner before deciding its complaint; and

C. Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondent Nos. 1 And 2 decide the prayer for interim relief immediately made by the Petitioner in light of the massive siphoning off of public funds



as intimated in the complaint made to the Banking Ombudsman; and

D. Pass such other or further order(s) and/or directions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the equitable facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, without pressing the matter on merits prayed for issuance of direction to the respondent nos.1 and 2 to expeditiously decide the complaint which is pending before respondent no.2 since 18th March, 2024.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 and 2, on instructions, submitted that respondent no.2 has already taken into consideration the aforesaid complaint and the same would be decided expeditiously.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent nos.1 and 2 as well as perused the contents made in the instant petition.

5. Since learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 and 2 fairly conceded on instructions that the complaint dated 18th March, 2024 is under active consideration of the authority concerned and the same would be decided expeditiously, the instant petition is disposed of, directing respondent no.2 to decide the complaint by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law, after giving personal hearing to the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within three weeks.

CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024
NA/av



This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 03/07/2025 at 20:16:43