



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7343/2024**

AMANDEEP SINGH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. K.S.Taneja (D/240/96),
Mr.Gursharan Singh and Mr.
Dharmendra Rana, Advocates with
Petitioners-in-person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State
SI Ram Dutt Sharma, PS Bharat
Nagar
Mr. Karan Sachdeva, Mr. Sanjay
Sharma and Ms. Richa Sharma, (D-
2736/11) Advocates for R-2 along
with Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

17.09.2024

CRL.M.A. 28013/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 7343/2024

1. The Petitioners have approached this Court for quashing FIR No.442/2021 dated 06.08.2021 registered at Police Station Bharat Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 498A, 406 & 34 IPC on the ground that the parties have entered into a settlement. The present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties.



2. It is stated that the parties have resolved their disputes by way of a Memorandum of Settlement dated 18.08.2023 entered into between the parties before the Counselling Cell, Family Courts, Rohini, Delhi. As per the Memorandum of Settlement dated 18.08.2023, Petitioner No.1 has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- to Respondent No.2 towards full and final settlement of all her claims in the following manner:

- a) A sum of Rs.2,00,000/- was to be paid at the time of joint statement of first motion.
- b) A sum of Rs.2,00,000/- was to be paid at the time of joint statement of second motion.
- c) A sum of Rs.1,00,000/- was to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR.

3. The Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant are present in Court today. The parties have been identified by their respective Counsels and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2/Complainant states that she has received the entire amount and has settled all her disputes with the Petitioner out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence. The parties undertake that they will remain bound by the terms of the settlement arrived at between them and the proceedings recorded before this Court

4. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and also in view of the fact that the instant case is squarely covered by the law laid down by the Apex Court in Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, the FIR No.442/2021 dated 06.08.2021 registered at Police Station Bharat Nagar for the offences



punishable under Sections 498A, 406 & 34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

5. With these observations, the petition is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024

hsk