



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 7332/2024, CRL.M.A. 28019/2024

RAHUL@BAUA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chaman Sharma, Mr. Dharam
Rana and Ms. Lalita, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE(GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH SHO PS.
GOKAL PURI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, Ld. APP
for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **17.09.2024**

CRL.M.A. 27978/2024 (Seeking Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7332/2024

3. A Criminal Misc. (Main) Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No.318/2022 under Section 363/376 IPC and Section 6 POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Narela, on the ground that the Prosecutrix and the Petitioner are residing together and also have a child from their relationship.
4. The record shows that though the parties have claimed to have married in a temple and thereafter in the Court, but there is no averments



that there is any legally performed marriage.

5. Even though the petitioner and respondent No.2/Prosecutrix are willing to get married, but because of their tender age, the law does not permit them to get married.

6. Considering the tender age of the parties, no ground is made out for quashing of FIR.

7. The petitioner is hereby dismissed.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2024/va