



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1124/2024**

JAI SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Rajat Sharma and Mr.Shivam
Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Jagdeep Sandhu
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

23.02.2024

CRL.M.A. 4422/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 4423/2024 (delay in filing)

1. By way of present application filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek condonation of delay of 154 days in filing the petition.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for State accepts notice and submit that he has no objection to the prayer made in the application.
4. For the reasons stated in the application and in view of the no objection from the other side, the present application is allowed and the



delay of 370 days in re-filing the petition is condoned.

5. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 1124/2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.110/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. South Rohini, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.

2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.

3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute on 01.12.2018 before Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi and that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have been living together since the last five years. Petitioner No.1 assures to look after respondent No.2 and further assures that no such incident would be repeated in future. The assurance given on behalf of petitioner No.1 is accepted and taken on record. He is made bound by the same.

5. The petitioners, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2, who is also present in Court, has been identified by the I.O.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement with her own free will, volition and without any



coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

11. Respondent No.2 shall be at liberty to revive the proceedings in case she remains aggrieved.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 23, 2024/na