



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2816/2024**

VINIT AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sanigdha Sood Madan
(D/3191/13), DHCLSC, Mr. Sumit
Madan (D/4822/18) and Mr. Rajnish
Kumar, Advocates with Petitioners-
in-person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State.

Respondent No.2 in person

SI Manish Tanwar, D-6694, PS Sarai
Rohilla

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **11.09.2024**

CRL.M.A. 27515/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 2816/2024

1. The Petitioners have approached this Court for quashing FIR No.112/2014 dated 10.02.2014 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 354B, 506 & 34 IPC. The present FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties.

2. It is stated that the parties have resolved their disputes by way of an oral settlement entered into between the parties. It is stated that Respondent



No.2/Complainant who was the wife of Petitioner No.3, has agreed to settle all the disputes with the Petitioners and an oral settlement has been entered into between the parties. It is stated that no other case is pending between the parties and no acrimony survives between the parties.

3. The Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant are present in Court today. The parties have been identified by the learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2/Complainant states that she has settled all her disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence. The parties undertake that they will remain bound by the terms of the settlement arrived at between them and the proceedings recorded before this Court

4. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties and also in view of the fact that the instant case is squarely covered by the law laid down by the Apex Court in Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, the FIR No.112/2014 dated 10.02.2014 registered at Police Station Sarai Rohilla for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 341, 354B, 506 & 34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

5. With these observations, the petition is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2024

hsk