



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2805/2024 & CRL.M.A. 27417/2024**

**SH. ABHISHEK VERMA ALIAS ABHISHEK KUMAR VERMA
AND ORS.**

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Saroj Kumar Jha (D-30/12) &
Ms. Mohini Jha (D-7770/24),
Advocates along with Petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
the State with Ms. Priyam Agarwal
and Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya,
Advocates.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goswami
(D/2877/2024), Advocate for R-2
along with Respondent No.2 in
person.

SI Akash Kumar, PS Bhajanpura and
SI Niranjana Kumar, PS EOW (D-
3332)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

10.09.2024

%

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 has been filed by the Petitioners for quashing FIR No.638/2016 dated 09.09.2016, registered at Police Station Bhajanpura for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC on the ground that the Parties have entered into a settlement. The present FIR is the



outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties. The Respondent No.2 is the Complainant and the Petitioner No.1 is the husband of the Complainant.

2. The principal ground on which the present petition has been filed is that the parties have settled their dispute before the Principal Counsellor, North East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi *vide* a Settlement Agreement dated 24.02.2024. It is stated the parties had filed a petition for divorce by mutual consent before the Ld. Principal Judge, Family Courts, North-East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi and by a Judgment and Decree dated 09.07.2024, the marriage between the Complainant/Respondent No.2 and the Petitioner No.1/husband stands dissolved. It is further stated that as per the settlement, the Petitioner No.1/husband has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.14,50,000/- to the Complainant/Respondent No.2 towards the full and final settlement of all her claims in the following manner:

- a. A sum of Rs.5,00,000/- was to be paid at the time of recording of statement of the First Motion.
- b. A sum of Rs.5,00,000/- was to be paid at the time of recording of statement of the Second Motion.
- c. A sum of Rs.4,50,000/- was to be paid at the time of quashing of the present FIR.

3. Today, the Parties are present in Court. The Petitioners have been identified by their Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Respondent No.2/Complainant has been identified by her Counsel and the Investigating Officer. The Complainant/Respondent No.2 states that she has received the entire amount as per the settlement and has settled all her matrimonial



disputes with the Petitioners out of her own free will, without pressure, coercion or undue influence and states that she does not want to pursue the present case any further and requests that the present FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom may be quashed. The parties undertake that they will remain bound by the settlement and proceedings recorded before this Court.

4. Considering the fact that the dispute is a matrimonial dispute and the parties have amicably settled their disputes and the present case is squarely covered under the law laid down by the Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303, this Court is satisfied that no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the present proceedings. Resultantly, the FIR No.638/2016 dated 09.09.2016, registered at Police Station Bhajanpura for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and the proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed

5. The petition stands disposed of in above terms, along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2024

S. Zakir