



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 708/2024**

M/S S. KUMAR CONSTRUCTIONPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Mukesh Kumar & Mr. Harsh
Kashyap, Advocates.**

versus

**GENERAL MANAGER
TELEPHONE DEPARTMENT**Respondent

Through: **Mr. Chiranjeev Joshi & Mr.
Pradeep Kumar Mathur,
Advocates.**

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN**

ORDER
10.09.2024

%

I.A. 38934/2024 (for exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 708/2024

1. This petition has been filed, under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking extension of the mandate of the sole arbitrator, who is in seisin of disputes between the parties.

2. Mr. Chiranjeev Joshi, learned counsel, enters appearance on behalf of the respondent, and states that the respondent has no objection to the relief sought.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 708/2024

Page 1 of 2



3. I am informed the hearing has concluded and the award has been reserved in the arbitral proceedings.
4. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is allowed and the mandate of the learned Arbitral Tribunal is extended for a period of eight months from 09.04.2024.
5. The petition stands disposed of in these terms.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2024

'pv' /