



2024 :DHC :6955



\$~70

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 10.09.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 7180/2024

ASHISH VERMA & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Gaurav Sarkar and Ms,Arshia Jindal, Advocates with petitioner No.1 in person and petitioner No.2 to 5 through VC.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.... Respondents

Through: Ms.Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State with Mr.Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate alongwith SI Roveine H., P.S. Shakarpur.
Mr.Raghwendra Pratap Rao, Advocate with respondent No.2 through VC.

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA**

%

J U D G M E N T**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)****CRL.M.A. 27414/2024**

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7180/2024 & CRL.M.A. 27413/2024

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No.0356/2022, under Sections 498A/406/506/34 IPC registered at P.S. Shakarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom.



2024:DHC:6955



2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for respondent No.2 along with respondent No. 2 (through VC), appear on advance notice and accept notice.
3. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 16.01.2020. No child was born out of the wedlock. Due to matrimonial differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No.2, present FIR was registered on 23.07.2022.
4. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of the Settlement Deed dated 29.04.2024 arrived at Counselling Cell, Family Court, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi and petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 are stated to be residing together since November, 2023.
5. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.
6. Petitioner No.1 (in person) alongwith petitioner No.2 to 5 and respondent No. 2 (through VC) have been identified by SI Roveine H., P.S.: Shakarpur. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by



2024:DHC:6955



keeping the case pending. It would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. The chances of conviction are bleak in view of amicable settlement between the parties. Consequently, FIR No.0356/2022, under Sections 498A/406/506/34 IPC registered at P.S. Shakarpur and the proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2024/v