



\$~14 to 17

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12658/2024**

EXCELLENCE PRIVATE ITI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ashok  
Kumar, Advocates (through VC).

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, CGSC with  
Mr.Sandeep Mishra and Mr. Ashish  
Choudhary, Advocates.

Mr.Subhash Tanwar, CGSC with  
Mr.Sandeep Mishra Ms.Bhavi Garg  
and Mr.Naveen, Advocates for R-  
UOI.

**15**

+ **W.P.(C) 12688/2024**

JIJA MATA PRIVATE ITI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ashok  
Kumar, Advocates (through VC).

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Subhash Tanwar, CGSC with  
Mr.Sandeep Mishra and Mr. Ashish  
Choudhary, Advocates.

Ms.Iram Majid, CGSC with  
Md.Suboor, Advocate for R-UOI.

**16**

+ **W.P.(C) 13118/2024**

UMA PRIVATE ITI

.....Petitioner



Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocates (through VC).

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING .....Respondent

Through: Ms.Nidhi Banga, Sr.P.C. with Mr.Nishant Kumar, Advocate.  
Ms.Archna Kumari, GP.

17

+ **W.P.(C) 13139/2024**

MESCO PRIVATE ITI .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat and Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocates (through VC).

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING .....Respondent

Through: Ms.Nidhi Banga, Sr.P.C. with Mr.Nishant Kumar, Advocate.  
Ms.Archna Kumari, GP.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

**22.11.2024**

%

1. The facts involved in the instant writ petitions are almost similar to the facts involved in *W.P.(C) 11627/2024* titled as '*Sun Private ITI vs. Directorate General of Training*'. The Court *vide* order dated 14.10.2024 disposed of the aforesaid writ petition while granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the jurisdictional High Court.



2. While doing so, the Court had also extended the interim protection for a period of 15 days from the date of passing of the order to enable the petitioners therein to approach the jurisdictional High Court.
3. In view of the aforesaid, keeping in mind the similarity of the facts and the interim order already in existence in the instant petitions, the Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the aforesaid writ petitions with similar directions as was passed in *W.P.(C) 11627/2024 vide* order dated 14.10.2024.
4. Let the directions passed in *W.P.(C) 11627/2024* to be applicable in the instant petitions *mutatis mutandis*.
5. In view thereof, the instant writ petitions stand disposed of.
6. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

**PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J**

**NOVEMBER 22, 2024**

*Nc/mjo*