



\$~85

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 12503/2024 & CM APPL. 51947/2024**

SHAHID KHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abuzar, Adv.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI
AND ORS**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajjay Arora, Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Dasi, Mr. M. D. Talha,
Mr. Deepesh Kasana, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
% **18.09.2024**

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner without disclosing the fate of the earlier writ petition bearing W.P. (C) 9944/2024. Admittedly, the same petitioner with respect to the same property has approached this Court in the said writ petition which was dismissed for want of prosecution. The petitioner in all fairness should have disclosed the aforesaid aspect.
2. In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to pass any directions at the instance of the present petitioner.
3. At this stage, the Court, however takes note of the submission made



by Mr. Kapil Dutta, learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, who submits that the Corporation has taken due action and the entire property is lying sealed. The Corporation is bound by the statement and is directed to take the action to its logical end.

4. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed of.
5. The rights of the private respondents stand reserved.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2024/KG