



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12466/2024 and CM APPL. 51889/2024**

RAJ KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.N. Sharma and Mr. Aman
Mudgal, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT HARYANA & ORS.Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

30.09.2024

%

1. A reading of the prayer clause shows that the Petitioner has in one writ petition laid a challenge to the adverse remarks in the Annual Confidential Reports as also challenged the disciplinary proceedings by challenging the suspension, chargesheet and the inquiry report, which, in my view, is misjoinder of causes of action.
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, on instructions, seeks to withdraw the petition to file separate petitions for different causes of action.
3. Writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application, with liberty as prayed for.

JYOTI SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 30, 2024

B.S. Rohella