



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 271/2024**

PARIVAR SEVA SANSTHA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Kumar, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Ms. Nidhi Mittal
and Ms. Gauri Gobardhan, Advocates
for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

09.01.2024

%

CM APPL. 1223/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 271/2024 & CM APPL. 1222/2024

1. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner is not aggrieved by the direction to pay a sum of Rs.75,000/-, however, he is aggrieved by the findings in Paragraph 9 of the judgment impugned herein, which according to the Petitioner is beyond the scope of the MTP Act and beyond the jurisdiction of the NCDRC.
2. If there is an error apparent on the face of the record, it is for the Petitioner to approach the NCDRC and file a review petition. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, therefore, prays for withdrawal of the instant writ petition with liberty to file a review petition limited to this aspect.



3. Permission and liberty is granted to the Petitioner to file a review vis-a-vis Paragraph 9 of the impugned judgment.
4. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation on the merits of the case.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JANUARY 9, 2024
hsk