



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 175/2024 & CRL.M.A. 5389/2024**

SANJEEV CHILLAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Chander Shekhar & Mr. Manav
Bhalla, Advs. with petitioner in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
State with WSI Lovely Priyanka, P.S.
Connaught Place and Insp. Jitendra
Kashyap, P.S. Connaught Place.
Mr. Rakesh Bhalla, Adv. for R-2.
R-2 & 3 (through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

20.02.2024

%

1. Amended memo of parties has been filed and the same is taken on record.
2. The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 299/2015, under Sections 354A/509 IPC (as per charge dated 27.02.2019), registered at P.S. Connaught Place, New Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Komal Garg, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court-01, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.
3. During the pendency of the aforesaid proceedings, the matter has been



settled between the petitioner and respondent no.2 *vide* MoU dated 19.10.2023. The copy of the aforesaid settlement deed dated 19.10.2023 is on record (Annexure C).

4. Petitioner is present before the Court and complainant/respondent no. 2 and respondent no.3 are present through video conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, WSI Lovely Priyanka, P.S. Connaught Place

5. The complainant/respondent No.2 and respondent no.3 state that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and they have no objection if the FIR is quashed. She further states that all the terms of the agreement have been complied with.

6. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

8. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 299/2015, under Sections 354A/509 IPC (as per charge dated 27.02.2019), registered at P.S. Connaught Place,



New Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Komal Garg, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court-01, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi.

9. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 299/2015, under Sections 354A/509 IPC (as per charge dated 27.02.2019), registered at P.S. Connaught Place, New Delhi and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the court of Ms. Komal Garg, learned Metropolitan Magistrate, Mahila Court-01, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, is hereby quashed.

10. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

11. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2024/nk