



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 168/2024, CRL.M.A. 687/2024**

JAIPUR GOLDEN HOSPITAL & ORS. Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Ravi Kant, Mr. Abhinav and Mr. Saurav Sain, Advocates with petitioners in person.**

Versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State with SI Aashish Malik, P.S. South Rohini.**
Mr. Sudhir Shokeen, Advocate for respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
07.02.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 283/2018 registered under Sections 323/341/354/506/34 IPC at Police Station South Rohini, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, on 06.11.2018, the petitioners abused and gave beatings to the complainant.
3. Mr. Sabharwal, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the



complainant/victim in the present case.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Settlement Deed dated 01.11.2023, a copy of which has been placed on record as *Annexure P-2*. In terms of the said settlement, respondent No. 2/complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. It is informed that a cross FIR No. 282/2018 registered under Sections 3/4 of the Medicare Service Persons and Medicare Service Institution (Prevention of Violence & Damage of Property) Act, 2008 and Section 325 IPC at P.S. South Rohini in respect of the same incident of present case has also been quashed by today's order passed in CRL.M.C. 8393/2023.

6. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in Court in person, are identified by their counsel as well as the by the I.O./SI Aashish Malik, P.S. South Rohini.

7. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and they undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned settlement agreement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.



11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 7, 2024/ga