



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 141/2024, CRL.M.A. 585/2024

AKRITI MAHAJAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, Ms. Rupali Panwar, Mr. Ayush Panwar and Ms. Aishwarya, Advocates.

versus

VINEET BHATIA AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Appearance not given.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

19.09.2024

%

1. A Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for quashing of the Complaint Case under Section 138 read with Section 142 of NI Act.
2. The record shows that the petitioner had been summoned vide Order dated 02.11.2018. It is essentially the Summoning Order which has been challenged on the ground that the petitioner was an independent Director for a short time and had even tendered her resignation. Essentially it is the Summoning Order dated 02.11.2018 which is challenged by way of the present petition, which has been filed on 30.11.2023.
3. There is an alternate efficacious remedy available with the petitioner to file the revision before the learned Additional Sessions Judge against the



Summoning Order dated 02.11.2018.

4. In view of above, the present petition along with the pending applications is disposed with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, in accordance with law.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2024/va