



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 682/2024**

ESHA KEDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr Rahul Kr Singh, Adv. (through
VC)**

versus

MILAN R PAREKH & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr Shashank Bajpai, Adv. (through
VC)**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

05.09.2024

%

I.A. 38469/2024

1. This is an application seeking condonation of 56 days' delay in re-filing the present petition.
2. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel and for the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 56 days in re-filing the present petition is condoned.
3. The application is disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 682/2024

4. This is a petition filed under Section 29A(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking extension of mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal by a period of 1 year for concluding the arbitral proceedings and passing the final award.
5. It is stated that the delay occurred due to the fact that the respondents



are based of Mumbai and their witnesses are being examined.

6. Issue notice.

7. Mr Bajpai, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the petition being allowed.

8. For the reasons noted above, I am of the view that sufficient cause has been explained for the delay. The petition is allowed and the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal is extended by a period of 1 year from today.

9. The period from 04.06.2024 till today stands regularized.

10. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JASMEET SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 5, 2024

sr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)