



\$~52 & 20

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 7017/2024

KAMAL AKHTAR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pavitra Veer Singh, Ms. S. Gupta  
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GNCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with  
SI Shalini, P.S. : Zafrabad.

+ CRL.M.C. 5452/2024

MUBARAK KHAN & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vinay Kumar, Mr. Mandeep  
Singh and Mr. Varun Bhandari,  
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE GNCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with  
SI Shalini, P.S. : Zafrabad.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

%

**05.09.2024**

**CRL.M.A. 26771/2024 in CRL.M.C. 7017/2024 (exemption)**

**CRL.M.A. 20879/2024 in CRL.M.C. 5452/2024 (exemption)**

Exemptions granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The applications stand disposed-of.

**CRL.M.A. 26772/2024 in CRL.M.C. 7017/2024**

By way of the present application filed under section 482 of the  
Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 ('Cr.P.C.'), the petitioners seek



condonation of about 15 days' delay in *re-filing* CRL.M.C. No.7017/2024.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, which is not opposed, the application is allowed.
3. Delay in *re-filing* CRL.M.C. No. 7017/2024 is condoned.
4. CRL.M.C. No. 7017/2024 is taken on Board.
5. The application is disposed-of.

**CRL.M.C. 7017/2024**

**CRL.M.C. 5452/2024**

6. By way of CRL.M.C. No.7017/2024 filed under section 482 Cr.P.C., the parties seek quashing of case FIR No. 44/2014 dated 31.01.2014 registered under sections 452/354/323/509/427/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Zafrabad, Delhi; and by way of CRL.M.C. No. 5452/2024 filed under section 482 Cr.P.C., the parties seek quashing of case FIR No.43/2014 dated 30.01.2014 registered under sections 341/323/509/34 IPC at P.S.: Zafrabad, Delhi.
7. The petitions are premised on Compromise Deed dated 20.03.2024, whereby the parties have resolved the matter amicably.
8. The petition is supported by affidavits of the parties, alongwith proofs of their I.D.s.
9. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
10. The court has interacted with the parties, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and a Compromise Deed dated



20.03.2024 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.

11. Mr. Utkarsh , learned APP confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
12. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.* reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIRs and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIRs and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
13. Accordingly, FIR No. 44/2014 dated 31.01.2014 registered under sections 452/354/323/509/427/506/34 IPC at P.S.: Zafraabad, Delhi and FIR No.43/2014 dated 30.01.2014 registered under sections 341/323/509/34 IPC at P.S.: Zafraabad, Delhi. are quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
14. Petitions stand disposed-of.
15. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**SEPTEMBER 5, 2024/ak**