



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 17/2024**
VIKRAM KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Pramod Tripathi, Advocate
(through V.C.)

versus

UNION OF INDIARespondent
Through: Mr. Vineet Dhanda, CGSC for UOI
with Ms. Haridas Medha, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

% **ORDER**
13.01.2025

CM APPL. 43207/2024 (filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking revival of the petition)

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed.

CONT.CAS(C) 17/2024

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the compliance affidavit has been filed with the Registry on 10.01.2025.
2. It seems that because of the belated filing, the same is still not part of record.
3. During course of the hearing, a hard copy thereof has been shown to the Court. It is noticed that a communication has already been sent to the petitioner on 09.09.2024 whereby the petitioner has been apprised about the decision taken by the respondent with respect to his eligibility to receive the cash award in question. It has also been



apprised that a cheque of Rs.2,36,000/- has already been sent to the petitioner in terms of such decision.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does admit that a cheque of the above said amount has already been received by the petitioner but it is submitted that the special cash award which has been bestowed upon the petitioner as coach of the wrestler in question is not fully in consonance with the policy and the petitioner was entitled and eligible to receive much more than what has been given to him.

5. During course of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner would reserve his right to challenge the above said order. He, therefore, submits that, without prejudice to his rights and contentions, the present petition may be disposed of as not pressed.

6. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of as not pressed. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 13, 2025
st