



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1405/2024**

KRISHNA KUMAR MEENA AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rajiv Agarwal and Ms. Surbhi
Bagra, Advocates

versus

NAVEEN KUMAR CHAUDHARY AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing
Counsel with Mr. N.K. Singh,
Advocates for GNCTD

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

01.10.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. In furtherance of last order, it is contended by learned counsel for respondent no.1 that merely by issuing appointment letters, order dated 29.05.2024 is not being violated since the issuance of appointment letters does not impact the present petitioners and in any case, application for vacation of stay has been filed and reply to the same has already been filed. Learned counsel for petitioners expresses apprehension that in view of fresh appointments, the present petitioners would be thrown out of job.
2. In these circumstances, learned counsel for petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to file fresh petition, in case a cause of action arises.
3. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

OCTOBER 1, 2024/rk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)