



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 12237/2024 and CM APPL. 50884/2024**

REYANSH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Sagar Sahay, Mr. Raghav
Rajmalani and Mr. Prince Kumar
Singh, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Kamal Gupta, Mr. Sparsh
Aggarwal and Ms. Yosha Dutt, Advs.
for R-School

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
08.10.2024

%

1. The matter was taken up for consideration on 04.10.2024. On the said date, the Court has made following observations:-

“1. It appears that the petitioner, in the application form seeking admission, has furnished his residential address as D-319, Peera Garhi Camp, Delhi 110056, assembly constituency as Shakur Basti area, Paschim Vihar and Village/Colony/Apartment/Sector/Pocket/Block/Gali Etc. as Block A1.

2. As per the DoE reply, the residential address falls within Block D.

3. On account of the aforesaid discrepancy and on scrutiny, a doubt has arisen as to whether the petitioner would be entitled for admission in the respondent-School on the basis of distance range criteria.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-School is directed to take instructions and assist the Court, as to whether the



variation of Blocks substantially changes/alters the position of entitlement of the petitioner for the purpose of allotment of a seat in respondent-School.

5. List this matter on 08.10.2024.

6. In the meantime, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file additional documents, if any, with an advance copy being supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.”

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no.2- School fairly submits that in the instant case, respondent no.2-School does not have any serious objection in admitting the petitioner. However, learned counsel requests the same to not be treated as a precedent.
3. In view of the aforesaid, the Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent no.2-School to admit the petitioner forthwith.
4. The instant petition is accordingly disposed of.
5. It is made clear that the aforesaid order shall not be treated as a precedent.
6. All other rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

OCTOBER 8, 2024

nc