



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 289/2024 with CM APPL. 50821/2024, CM APPL. 50822/2024, CM APPL. 51006/2024**

KAILASH CHANDRA SONIAppellant
Through: Ms Sunita Ojha and Mr Saurabh Singh,
Advocates.
versus

MAYANK SONI & ANR. & ORS.Respondents
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

% **ORDER**
03.09.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 50822/2024

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CM APPL. 51006/2024 *[Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay of 12 days in re-filing the appeal]*

2. This application has been filed on behalf of the appellant seeking condonation of delay in re-filing the appeal.

2.1 According to the appellant, there is a delay of 12 days.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 289/2024

Page 1 of 2



3. Having regard to the period involved, we are inclined to condone the delay.

3.1. It is ordered accordingly.

4. The application is disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 289/2024 with CM APPL. 50821/2024 [Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking interim relief]

5. After some arguments, learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, seeks to withdraw the appeal.

6. Furthermore, the learned counsel for the appellant says that she would prefer a review of the impugned judgment.

7. As and when an application for review is filed, the family court will examine the same, *albeit* as per law.

8. This appeal is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

9. Consequently, the pending applications shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 3, 2024/PB

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 289/2024

Page 2 of 2