



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6938/2024**

HUNNY BHATIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Pawan Silamana, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.Respondents

Through: Ms.Manjeet Arya, APP for State with
SI Anil

Mr.Vinod Pal and Mr.Anuj, Advocates for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

10.12.2024

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.433/2021 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 Dowry Prohibition Act at P.S. Seemapuri, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and the petitioners wherein, petitioner No.1 is the husband and petitioner Nos.2 to 3 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Settlement Agreement dated 05.03.2024. In terms of the settlement, it is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already



been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 04.06.2024 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court-03, Karkardooma Courts, Shahdara, Delhi in HMA No.1038/2024. It is further submitted that out of the total settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.749042 dated 04.12.2024 drawn on State Bank of India to respondent No.2/complainant.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement Agreement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 10, 2024/na