



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12001/2024**

DEVA RANI @ DEV KUMARIPetitioner

Through: **Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.**

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Shilpa Ohri, ASC for MCD.
Ms. Ekta Choudhary, Mr. Divyank
Dutt Dwivedi and Ms. Aditi Sharma,
Adv. for R-3.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

30.08.2024

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner confines his submissions only to the extent of directing respondent No.1 to decide the application for permission to repair/reconstruct the petitioner's house situated at 16/492 Kalyanpuri, East Delhi-110091.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-Corporation, on advance notice, submits that the said application seems to have been filed almost three years ago and at this stage, the respondent-Corporation does not have any objection if the petitioner files a fresh application asserting her claim.
3. Looking at the nature of the controversy and in view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the Court



disposes of the instant writ petition with the following directions:

- i. Let the petitioner to file a proper application, along with a copy of the order being passed today, with the respondent-Corporation within a period of seven days from today.
- ii. Let the respondent-Corporation to decide the said application within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the application to be filed, strictly in accordance with law.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 30, 2024/p