



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11988/2024**

EX ASI GD KUNDAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Abhey Kumar Bhargava and Mr.
Siddhaarth Singh, Advocates.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Bandana Kaur Grova, SPC with
Mr. Abhishek, G.P., Mr. Rishab Garg,
Advocates for R-1/UOI.**

**Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Mr. Akshit Kapur
& Ms. Riya Sood, Advocates for
Respondent Bank (SBI).**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

ORDER

%

30.08.2024

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition, the petitioner will first approach the respondents by way of a representation seeking redressal of his grievances as prayed in the present petition. He submits that since the respondents were even otherwise under a legal obligation to grant the reliefs as sought by the petitioner, they may be directed to decide his representation in a time bound manner.

2. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondents, who appear on



advance notice, have no objection to this limited request.

3. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as not pressed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by way of appropriate representations within a period of four weeks and direct the respondents to decide the said representations within a period of eight weeks' from the date of receipt thereof. Needless to state, in case the petitioner is aggrieved by any order passed by any of the respondents, it will be open for him to seek legal recourse as permissible in law.

REKHA PALLI, J

SHALINDER KAUR, J

AUGUST 30, 2024
SU