



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6783/2024, CRL.M.A. 25904/2024 (stay)**

AKRITI MAHAJAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, Ms. Rupali Panwar, Mr. Saurabh Sharma and Mr. Ayush Panwar, Advocates.

versus

M/S POWER2SME PVT. LTD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

30.08.2024

%

CRL.M.A.25905/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6783/2024

3. The Petition under Section 482 CrPC has been filed on behalf of the petitioner for quashing the Complaint Case NO. 12667/2018 for the offence under Section 138 read with Section 141/142 of NI Act, 1881 and the summoning order dated 19.04.2018, passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, NI Act, South West District Dwarka, Delhi.
4. Essentially, the petitioner has been summoned in the Complaint Case No. 12667/2018 under Section 138 of N.I. Act *vide* Order dated 19.04.2018, by learned Metropolitan Magistrate. The petitioner has challenged this order



after almost eight years when the Notice has also been framed and the matter according to him, is listed at the stage of evidence of the complainant.

5. The petitioner had an alternative remedy of challenging it before the revisional Court, before the learned Sessions Judge. Moreover, the Petition suffers from delay and laches and it is, therefore, dismissed.

6. The Petition is disposed of accordingly. The pending application also stands disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 30, 2024/RS