



\$~35

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 650/2024**

**MR MOHIT SARAF**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saurav Agrawal, Mr. Syamantak  
Sen, Advs.

versus

**MR RAJIV K LUTHRA DECEASED THROUGH HIS LEGAL  
REPRESENTATIVES & ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shrutanjaya Bhardwaj, Ms. Pooja  
Dhar, Mr. Zeeshan Diwan, Advs. for  
R1 (a) to (c)  
Mr. Rajat Navet, Mr. Naman Singh  
Bagga, Mr. Gaurav Rai, Advs. for R2  
to R4

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH**

**ORDER**

**29.08.2024**

%

**I.A. 37631/2024**

1. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
2. The petitioner shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules before the next date of hearing.
3. The application is disposed of.

**O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 650/2024**

4. This is a petition filed u/s 29A(4) and (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking extension of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal for a period of 9 months to conclude the arbitral proceedings and make and publish the Arbitral Award.



5. It is stated that during the proceedings, Mr. Rajiv K Luthra expired as a result of which the delay has occurred.
6. Issue notice. Mr. Bhardwaj, learned counsel accepts notice for respondent No. 1 (a) to (c) and Mr. Navet, learned counsel accepts notice for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
7. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the present petition being allowed.
8. It is stated by the learned counsel for the respondents that no averments made in the present petition is admitted.
9. For the reasons stated above and in view of the no objection, the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal is extended from 28.09.2024 till 28.06.2025.
10. Nothing stated in the petition is admitted by any of the respondents.
11. The petition is disposed of.

**JASMEET SINGH, J**

**AUGUST 29, 2024/DM**

*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*