



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 4276/2023, CRL.M.(BAIL) 1796/2023**

SHASHANK JADON

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Manisha Bhandari, Mr. Omkar
Shrivastava, Mr. Divyadeep
Chaturvedi, Mr. Ayush Jain,
and Mr. Shashwat Sidhant, Advocates.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Atul Guleria, SPP for CBI with
Mr. Shubham Gupta, Mr. Shubham
Goyal and Ms. Yamini Bansal,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

12.02.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while preferring earlier application for bail, it could not be argued before the learned Trial Court that in July 2022, when an accused in another case namely Lakshay Tanwar was appearing in the proceedings at Ghaziabad Court, he was contacted by one Gaurav Mehra and Deepak Kumar (IO of present case), wherein Gaurav Mehra informed him that in case Lakshay Tanwar gets certain work done for Deepak Kumar, in that eventuality, IO could help in the cases.
2. Prima facie, the alleged conversation between Lakshay Tanwar and Deepak Kumar (IO of present case) has no connectivity, so far as the evidence in the present case is concerned.
3. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioner seeks to withdraw the bail



application with liberty to file an application before the learned Trial Court.

4. Taking the statement of learned counsel for petitioner on record, the application is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

FEBRUARY 12, 2024/R