



\$~R8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 111/2015**

MUNISH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Karan Luthra and Mr. Yogesh
Malik, Advs.

versus

RAMESH KUMAR BHATIA

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **24.10.2024**

1. None appears for the Respondent.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/tenant draws attention of the Court to order dated 20.08.2015 to submit that the possession of the subject premises was returned to the Respondent on 13.07.2015.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner relies upon the judgment passed by this Court in ***Ashok Gupta v. Deepak Rao***¹, which has relied upon the Judgments of the Supreme Court in ***NC Daga v. Inder Mohan Singh Rana***² and ***Vinod Kumar Verma v. Manmohan Verma***³ to fairly submit in view of the settled position of law, since the possession of the subject premises has been restored, nothing further survives in the present Petition and the Petition has become infructuous.
- 3.1 This Court is supported in its view by judgments passed by Coordinate Benches of this Court including ***Om Prakash Ashok Kumar & Sons v. Ajay Khurana***⁴, ***Neelam Sharma v. Ekant Rekhan***⁵, and ***Bhawani***

¹ 2024 SCC OnLine Del 7148

² (2003) 1 SCC 453

³ Civil Appeal Nos. 5220-5221 of 2008 dated 19.08.2018

⁴ 2024 SCC OnLine Del 5228

⁵ 2019 SCC Online Del 6487



Shankar v Nand Lal and Ors⁶.

4. The Petition is accordingly disposed of in the foregoing judgments.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 24, 2024/r

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

⁶ 2021 SCC OnLine Del 4284