



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 11747/2024

FAHAMIDA JAHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Jatin Singh, Mr. Keshav Sehgal,
Mr. Shivam Gaur, Mr. Kshitij Joshi
and Mr. Aryan Kumar, Adv. with
Mr. Devender Singh, ITBP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

13.09.2024

1. None appears for the petitioner.
2. By way of the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, filed in August 2024, the petitioner has approached this Court assailing the respondents' order dated 17.07.2021 vide which his candidature was rejected on the ground of his being overage.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents, who appears on advance notice, submits that the petition is not only liable to be dismissed on merits as the petitioner's candidature was rightly rejected as he was found to be overage, but is even otherwise barred by delay and laches.
4. In the light of this submission made by the respondents and without



making any observations on the merits of the petition, since none appears for the petitioner, we dismiss the same in default and for non-prosecution.

REKHA PALLI, J

SACHIN DATTA, J

SEPTEMBER 13, 2024
SU