



\$~116 to 120

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

(116)

+ LPA 645/2024 & CM APPL. 74323/2024

RAMESH CHAND JAIN AND ORSAppellant
Through: Mr. Krishnan Agarwal and Ms. Atiga
Singh, Advocates.
versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents
Through:

(117)

+ LPA 773/2024 & CM APPL. 74322/2024

BASANT KUMAR SHUKLAAppellant
Through: Mr. Tamim Qadri, Advocate.
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Senior Panel
Counsel with Ms. Swati Mishra, Ms.
Drishhti Saraf and Ms. Pragya
Upadhyay, Advocates.

(118)

+ LPA 818/2024 & CM APPL. 74367/2024

SMT. URMILA DEVI & ORS.Appellants
Through: Mr. Tamim Qadri, Advocate.
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Vineet Dhanda, CGSC alongwith



Ms. Shweta Shandilya and Mr.
Saksham Sethi, Advocates for UOI.

(119)

+ LPA 822/2024 & CM APPL. 74326/2024

M. ZIYAUDDINAppellant

Through: Mr. Tamim Qadri, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukul Singh CGSC alongwith
Mr. Aakash Pathak and Ms. Ira Singh,
Advocate.

(120)

+ LPA 830/2024 & CM APPL. 74324/2024

GOPAL PARSAD AGARWAL & ANR.Appellants

Through: Mr. Tamim Qadri, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

18.12.2024

**CM APPL. 74323/2024, CM APPL. 74322/2024, CM APPL. 74367/2024,
CM APPL. 74326/2024 & CM APPL. 74324/2024**

1. The applicants, who were the appellants in the above captioned appeals, have filed the present applications praying that they be permitted to



operate their respective stalls till the tender process for allocating the said units is finalised by the Railways or atleast till the 15.02.2025.

2. Notwithstanding that the appellants did not succeed in their challenge before the learned Single Judge or in the appeals before this Court, they were granted extension of time to continue to operate their respective stalls/units, in order to enable them to make alternate arrangements.

3. The applicants had also furnished undertakings to the effect that they would vacate their units at the end of the extended period. In terms of the undertakings, the applicants are required to handover their units to the Railway authorities. However, they seek further extension notwithstanding their undertakings.

4. We are unable to accept that the applicants are required to be granted any further extension, notwithstanding their undertakings, on the ground that certain other vendors have been granted a longer period to vacate their units.

5. The applications are accordingly dismissed.

VIBHU BAKHRU, ACJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

DECEMBER 18, 2024

kct

Click here to check corrigendum, if any