



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 6641/2024**

ANIL KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.M.R. Chanchal and Mr.Sushant
Chanchal, Advocates with petitioner in person

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT, DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with ASI Omprakash

Mr.Ghanshyam Sharma, Advocate for respondent
Nos.2 to 5 with respondent Nos.2 to 5 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

27.08.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 25367/2024 (delay)

1. By way of present application, the petitioner seeks condonation of delay of 52 days in re-filing the petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the delay of 52 days in re-filing the petition is condoned.
3. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 6641/2024 and CRL.M.A. 25366/2024 (exemption)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.538/2022 registered under Sections 323/509/354 IPC at P.S. Mohan Garden, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.



2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the incident arises out of the matrimonial disputes pending between the relatives.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the petitioner is the only accused person and respondent Nos.2 to 5 are the complainants/victims.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have entered into a settlement vide Memorandum of Settlement/MOU dated 15.05.2024 and in terms of the settlement, respondent Nos.2 to 5 are now left with no claim or grievance whatsoever against the petitioner.
5. The petitioner and respondent Nos.2 to 5, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer.
6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and he undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent Nos.2 to 5 state that they have entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Settlement/MOU out of their own free will, volition and without any coercion. They further state that they have no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that there is another connected FIR being FIR No.537/2022 registered under Sections 323/509/354 IPC at P.S. Mohan Garden, Delhi which has also been quashed vide CRL.M.C. 6631/2024.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.
9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the



aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 27, 2024

na