



\$~67

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ CRL.M.C. 6551/2024 and CRL.M.A. 25034/2024  
TEKCHAND @ RAMESH & ANR. ....Petitioners  
Through: Mr.Abhishekran Roy and Mr.Sunil  
Sagar, Advocates with petitioners in person  
versus  
THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR. ....Respondents  
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State  
with SI Arvind  
Mr.Amiruddin, Advocate for respondent No.2 with  
respondent No.2 in person

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI**

**ORDER**  
**22.08.2024**

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.429/2014 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Sangam Vihar, New Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner No.2 is the brother-in-law of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State confirms that in the present case, the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim. He further submits that the chargesheet in the present case has been filed.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 18.09.2023 before Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New



Delhi and that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have been living together since a long time. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer. The petitioners assure and undertake that they would not give any reason to the complainant to complain or revive the present petition. The undertaking is accepted, taken on record and they are made bound by the same.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid mediation settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

**MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J**

**AUGUST 22, 2024/na**