



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(CRL) 2542/2024 and CRL.M.A. 24798/2024
PUNIT & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Himanshu Jawa, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State with SI Neelam
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
21.08.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.0480/2017 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC read with Section 4 of DP Act at P.S. Nand Nagri, Delhi, on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioners, wherein petitioner No.1 is a husband and petitioner Nos.2 to 5 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State confirms that in the present case, the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim. He further submits that chargesheet has been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their dispute vide Compromise Deed dated 01.05.2024 and that



petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have been living together since **the** last one year. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer. Respondent No.2, who is also present in the Court, has also been identified by the Investigating Officer. The petitioners assure and undertake that they would not give any reason to the complainant to complain or revive the present petition. The undertaking is accepted, taken on record and they are made bound by the same.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Compromise Deed out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 21, 2024

na