



\$~84 to 93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6499/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24875/2024, CRL.M.A. 24876/2024

ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6500/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24877/2024, CRL.M.A. 24878/2024

(85) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6501/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24879/2024, CRL.M.A. 24880/2024

(86) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents



Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6502/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24881/2024, CRL.M.A.
24882/2024
(87) ASHISH RAHEJAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6503/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24883/2024, CRL.M.A.
24884/2024
(88) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6504/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24885/2024, CRL.M.A.
24886/2024
(89) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus



STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6505/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24887/2024, CRL.M.A.
24888/2024

(90) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6506/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24889/2024, CRL.M.A.
24890/2024

(91) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6507/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24891/2024, CRL.M.A.
24892/2024

(92) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.



versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

+ CRL.M.C. 6508/2024 & CRL.M.A. 24893/2024, CRL.M.A.
24894/2024

(93) ASHISH RAHEJA AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Nikhil Kohli and Mr. Rahul
Sinha, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER
21.08.2024

%

1. The Petitioners have approached this Court for compounding of the complaint cases and the subsequent quashing of the said complaints filed by Respondent No.2 against the Petitioner under Section 138 of the N.I. Act.
2. Material on record indicates that the Petitioners are facing proceedings under Section 138 of the N.I. Act. The Petitioners state that they are prepared to pay the entire cheque amount.
3. The prayer of the Petitioners is that this Court may exercise its jurisdiction under Section 528 of the BNSS to persuade the Respondents to accept the amount and compound the matter.
4. When this Court expressed its inhibition in passing such an order, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdraw these



petitions to approach the Trial Court and move an application that the Petitioner is prepared to deposit the entire amount of money.

5. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.
6. On the said application being filed, the Trial Court is directed to proceed in accordance with law and consider the fact that the Petitioner has deposited the money in case the Petitioner is found guilty of the offence at the time of awarding sentence.
7. Liberty is granted to the Petitioners to approach this Court in case the Petitioners are aggrieved by the orders.
8. With these observations, the petitions are disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 21, 2024
hsk