



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 6497/2024, CRL.M.A. 24871/2024**

DEEPAK & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Suresh Chand and Mr. Suman
Kumar, Advocates with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Mohit Batan, P.S. Bawana.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

21.08.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 57/2022 registered under Sections 498-A/406/509/354A/34 IPC at P.S. Bawana on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioners, wherein petitioner No. 1 is a husband and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are parents-in-law of the complainant.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. It is submitted that parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi



Mediation Centre, Rohini District Courts, Delhi on 18.01.2024. In terms of the settlement, marriage between the parties has already been dissolved vide decree of divorce by mutual consent dated 16.04.2024 passed by Family Court-03, North District, Rohini Courts, Delhi. In terms of the settlement, it is agreed between the parties that petitioner No.1 shall pay a sum of Rs.2,50,000/- as full and final settlement to respondent No. 2 towards all her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc. It is further submitted that out of the total settled amount, a sum of Rs.1,50,000/- has already been paid and remaining balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today to the respondent No.2 through a demand draft bearing No. 635083 dated 19.07.2024 drawn on Kotak Mahindra Bank.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as by I.O./SI Mohit Batan, P.S. Bawana .

6. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the IO. She states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.1,00,000/- handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby



quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft of Rs.1 lac.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 21, 2024

ga