



\$~95

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 2530/2024 and CRL.M.A. 24656/2024

SANDEEP VERMA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr.Deepak, Advocate with petitioners
through V.C.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI (THROUGH SHO PS

MANSAROVAR PARK) & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel with
Mr.Abhinav Kumar and Mr.Priyam Agrawal,
Advocates with Insp. Vikas Malik
Mr.Lakshay Kumar and Mr.Gitesh Aneja,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 through V.C.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

20.08.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.317/2023 registered under Sections 354A/354B/323/509/34 IPC at P.S. Mansarovar Park, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned Standing Counsel for the State confirms that in the present case, the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim. He further submits that the chargesheet in the present case has been filed.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 09.08.2024 and are living together. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who has joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer. The petitioners assure and undertake that they would not give any reason to the complainant /respondent No.2 to complain or revive the present petition. The undertaking is accepted, taken on record and they are made bound by the same.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms alongwith the pending application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 20, 2024/na