



\$~20 & 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 90/2020 & CM APPLs. 6955/2020, 6956/2020**

SANTOSH @ PRAMILA DEVI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Himanshu Jawa, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL INSURANCE CO LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Arihant Jain & Mr. Mayank
Ranjan Yadav, Advocates.

24

+ **MAC.APP. 98/2020 & CM APPLs. 7129/2020, 7130/2020**

BABY SHREYA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Himanshu Jawa, Advocate.

versus

NATIONAL INSURANCE CO LTD & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Arihant Jain & Mr. Mayank
Ranjan Yadav, Advocates for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

07.10.2024

%

1. Learned counsel for the Insurance Company has taken an objection that the Appeals have been preferred earlier on behalf of the appellants, but the same were withdrawn on the statement made by the previous counsel before the National Lok Adalat. The Affidavit of the previous counsel to this effect has been filed, wherein he has submitted that he made a



statement, on instructions, for withdrawal of the Appeals.

2. Be that as it may, once an Appeal already filed has been withdrawn, the fresh Appeal against the same Award is not maintainable in law.

3. Therefore, the present Appeals along with the pending applications have been dismissed with liberty to the Claimants to proceed in accordance with law.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

OCTOBER 7, 2024

S.Sharma