



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 268/2024 & CM 47482/2024, CM 47483/2024**

NEERAJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Kshitiz Mahipal, Ms. Khairun
Nisa, Ms. Geeta Deve & Ms. Shirin
Raza, Advocates.

versus

MANISHA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

20.08.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Although, there are several limbs to the prayer made in the appeal, counsel for the appellant says that the only grievance he wishes to press concerns the failure of the learned Family Court Judge to adjudicate the application preferred under Section 340 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
2. Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of with a direction that if such an application has not been decided, the learned Family Court Judge will adjudicate the same, *albeit* after hearing the parties and/or their counsel.
3. Before adjudicating the aforementioned application, the Family Court will issue notice to the respondent.
4. List the matter before the concerned Family Court on 04.09.2024, for taking further steps in the matter with regard to adjudication of the aforementioned application.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 268/2024

Page 1 of 2



5. The Registry will dispatch a copy of the order passed today to the respondent.
6. Pending applications shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 20, 2024/rt

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 268/2024

Page 2 of 2