



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 9092/2023

AZAD SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ravin Rao, Mr.Pallav Gupta and
Mr.Ayan Sharma, Advocates with petitioners in
person

versus

THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State with
Insp. Surender

Mr.Akshit Sawal, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

18.03.2024

%

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.322/2020 registered under Sections 302/323/354B/34 IPC at P.S. Kanjhawala, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners beat and misbehaved with respondent No.2, and during the same act, serious injuries were caused to the deceased namely *Jagbir Singh*.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that in the present case the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim. He submits that though FIR was registered under the aforesaid sections, however, after filing of the chargesheet, learned trial court vide order dated 02.02.2023 framed charges under Sections 323/324/354B IPC. The complainant is the wife of the deceased and the



petitioner Nos.1 and 2 are the parents of the deceased.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide settlement dated 18.11.2023 and in terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and they undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 18, 2024

na