



\$~59, 60 & 61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 288/2006

RAVINDERAppellant

Through: None

versus

STATERespondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahlot, APP for the
State & SI Kiran PS I P Estate

60

+ CRL.A. 289/2006

SURENAppellant

Through: Counsel for (thru VC)

versus

STATERespondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahlot, APP for the
State & SI Kiran PS I P Estate

61

+ CRL.A. 314/2006

DAYAWATIAppellant

Through: None

versus

STATERespondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep Gahlot, APP for the
State & SI Kiran PS I P Estate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

12.11.2024

1. It is pointed out by the APP for State that appellant Ravinder and Dayawati have since expired which fact has also been verified, as noted in



order dated 8th November 2024 of the Joint Registrar.

2. Accordingly, CRL.A. 288/2006 and CRL.A. 314/2006 stand abated.
3. Counsel for appellant, who appears through VC, states that the appellant wishes to pursue the appeal.
4. List CRL.A. 289/2006 on 6th March 2025.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2024/sm