



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(CRL) 2491/2024, CRL.M.A. 24271/2024, CRL.M.A.
24272/2024

ANAND VERMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vikas Malik, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for
State with Mr. Abhinav Kumar Arya,
Advocate alongwith SI Baleshwar
Rana, P.S. Najafgarh.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
16.08.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 132/2024 registered under Section 324 IPC at P.S. Dwarka, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner assaulted respondent No.2 with a sharp object.
3. Mr. Lao, learned Standing Counsel for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused person and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. He further submits that investigation is pending in the present FIR and chargesheet has not been



filed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 are known to each other, petitioner being the father-in-law of respondent No.2 and with the intervention of family members and friends, they have amicably settled their disputes vide MOU dated 16.05.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further confirms that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 along with the child and husband will live in a separate home.

5. Petitioner, who is present in Court, has been identified by his counsel as well as the I.O. SI Baleshwar Rana, P.S. Najafgarh, Delhi. Petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent No. 2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the IO. She states that she has entered into the settlement with the petitioner out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith pending applications.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 16, 2024/ga