



\$~14 - 17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 4152/2023

IKABAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lokesh Kumar Mishra, Mr. Haider Khan, Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Mr. Devansh Sehgal and Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State with SI Neetu PS Maidan Garhi and SI Pinki Rana PS Malviya Nagar Mr. Hemant Singh, Adv. (DHCLSC) for complainant

+ BAIL APPLN. 86/2024

SH. JUBER

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lokesh Kumar Mishra, Mr. Haider Khan, Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Mr. Devansh Sehgal and Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State with SI Neetu PS Maidan Garhi and SI Pinki Rana PS Malviya Nagar Mr. Hemant Singh, Adv. (DHCLSC) for complainant

+ BAIL APPLN. 89/2024

TAHIR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lokesh Kumar Mishra, Mr. Haider Khan, Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Mr. Devansh Sehgal and Mr. Sumit Kumar, Advs.

versus



STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with SI Neetu PS Maidan Garhi and
SI Pinki Rana PS Malviya Nagar
Mr. Hemant Singh, Adv. (DHCLSC)
for complainant

+ BAIL APPLN. 93/2024
AKHTAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lokesh Kumar Mishra, Mr.
Haider Khan, Mr. Himanshu Sharma,
Mr. Devansh Sehgal and Mr. Sumit
Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI) AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for State
with SI Neetu PS Maidan Garhi and
SI Pinki Rana PS Malviya Nagar
Mr. Hemant Singh, Adv. (DHCLSC)
for complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

14.03.2024

%

1. Mr. Hemant Singh, Advocate who has been nominated by the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, was appointed to represent the prosecutrix. He submits that the prosecutrix has not contacted him.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the direction of this Court the petitioner has joined investigation, which position is not disputed by the learned APP on instructions from the Investigating Officer, who is present in Court.



3. The learned APP further submits that the custody of the petitioner is no more required for investigation.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the co-accused namely, Anish, Munfed, Arif and Umar have also been granted interim protection. This fact is also not disputed by the learned APP for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer who is present in Court.
5. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of petitioner's arrest, they be released on bail subject to their furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each and a surety bond of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer / Arresting Officer, further subject to the condition that he will join investigation as and when directed by the IO concerned.
6. The petitions stand disposed of.
7. Order *dasti* under signatures of the Court Master.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 14, 2024

N.S. ASWAL